Complete Class Results For Linear Regression Designs Over The Multi-Dimensional Cube

N

Friedrich Pukelsheim¹

ABSTRACT Complete classes of designs and of moment matrices for linear regression over the multi-dimensional unit cube are presented. An essentially complete class of designs comprises the uniform distributions on the vertices with a fixed number of entries being equal to unity, and mixtures of neighboring such designs. The corresponding class of moment matrices is minimally complete. The derivation is built on information increasing orderings, that is, a superposition of the majorization ordering generated by the permutation groups, and the Loewner ordering of symmetric matches.

Introduction

In a brilliant paper C. -S. Cheng (1987) recently determined optimal designs over the k-dimensional unit cube $[0, 1]^k$ for the linear model

$$\mathcal{E}[Y] = x'\theta, \ V[Y] = \sigma^2.$$

In this setting the experimenter chooses the regression vector x in the cube $[0, 1]^k$ prior to running the experiment, and then observes the response Y. The response is assumed to have expected value and variance as given above; furthermore, responses at different design points x, and replicated observations at the same point x, are all taken to be uncorrelated. As pointed out by Cheng this model has interesting applications in Hadamard

transform optics. The optimal designs of Cheng (1987) are the *j*-vertex designs ξ_j and mixtures of (j + 1)- and *j*-vertex designs, defined as follows. A *j*-vertex of the unit cube $[0, 1]^k$ is a vector x with j entries equal to unity and the remaining k - j entries equal to zero, for $j = 0, \ldots, k$ (See Figure 1.) There

¹Cornell University, On leave from the Institut für Mathematik der Universität Augsburg.

350 21. Complete Class Results For Linear Regression Designs

are $\binom{k}{j}$ many *j*-vertices. The *j*-vertex design ξ_j is the design that has the *j*-vertices for support, and assigns uniform mass $1/\binom{k}{j}$ to each of them. For mixtures of the form $\alpha\xi_{j+1} + (1-\alpha)\xi_j$ the following notation is convenient, in that it provides a continuous parameterization. Given $j, j = 0, \ldots, k-1$, define the design

$$\xi_s = (s - j)\xi_{j+1} + (1 - (s - j))\xi_j$$
 for $s \in (j, j + 1)$.

In terms of s we have that j is the integer part of s, j = int s. In other words, the two integers j+1 and j closest to s specify the number of vertices supporting ξ_s , and the fractional part s-j determines the weight for mixing ξ_{j+1} and ξ_j . For example, $\xi_{2,11} = 0.11\xi_3 + 0.89\xi_2$, and $\xi_{7,4} = 0.4\xi_8 + 0.6\xi_7$. Under the p-mean criteria considered by Cheng (1987) the class of opti-

mal designs is

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \xi_s : s \in \left[\operatorname{int} \frac{k+1}{2}, k \right] \right\},\$$

starting from the 'median vertex design' $\xi_{\text{int}(k+1)/2}$ and running through the *j*-vertex designs ξ_j and mixtures ξ_s up to the design ξ_k that assigns all mass to the vector with each entry equal to unity. It is notationally convenient to define

$$m = \operatorname{int} \frac{\kappa + 1}{2};$$

this is the largest median of the set of numbers $0, \ldots, k$. As usual the class of all designs on $[0, 1]^k$ is denoted by Ξ .

FIGURE 1. Corners of the unit cube with j entries 1 and the remaining entries 0 are called j-vertices. For the cube in dimension 3 the figure shows the 0-, 1-, 2-, and 3-vertices.



In Section 2 we show that Cheng's class C is essentially complete, and that the corresponding class of moment matrices M(C) is minimally complete. with respect to the information ordering generated by the permutation group Perm(k). As a consequence, the class C contains an optimal design

whenever the optimality criterion is given by an information function ϕ that is permutationally invariant.

Cheng (1987) studied the subclass of *p*-means ϕ_p . In Section 3 we present some graphs showing how the optimal support parameter s(p) and the optimal value v(p) change with the order $p \in [-\infty, 1]$ of the mean ϕ_p , and with the dimensionality k.

2 Complete Class Results

The performance of a design ξ hinges on its moment matrix

$$M(\xi) = \int_{[0,1]^k} x x' d\xi.$$

These matrices are of order $k \times k$, and nonnegative definite. Our complete class results refer to the information increasing ordering generated by the group $\operatorname{Perm}(k)$ of $k \times k$ permutation matrices. The general theory is surveyed in Pukelsheim (1987). We here only recall such details as are necessary for the present discussion.

A matrix B is said to be *more centered than* a moment matrix A whenever

$B \in \operatorname{conv}\{QAQ' : Q \in \operatorname{Perm}(k)\},\$

that is, B lies in the convex hull of the orbit of A when the group Perm(k) acts through congruence. A moment matrix M is said to be at least as informative as another moment matrix A when in the Loewner ordering one has $M \ge B$ for some matrix B that is more centered than A. A moment matrix is said to be *more informative than* another moment matrix A when M is at least as informative as A, but does not lie in the orbit of A.

Theorem 2.1 The class of designs C is essentially complete; that is, for all designs η in Ξ there exists a design ξ_s in C such that $M(\xi_s)$ is at least as informative as $M(\eta)$. The corresponding class of moment matrices M(C) is minimally complete; that is, for all moment matrices A not in M(C) there exists a moment matrix M in M(C) such that M is more informative than A and there is no proper subclass of M(C) with the same property.

Proof: Let η be a design not in C. First symmetrization leads to an invariant design $\overline{\eta}$, then a Loewner improvement produces a better design ξ , and another Loewner improvement yields a design ξ_s in the class C.

I. Averaging η leads to a design $\bar{\eta}$ that is permutationally invariant. Its moment matrix \bar{A} is the average of the moment matrix A of η ,

A =k! $Q \in Perm(k)$ QAQ'

UNITE TOT THINK THE TOTAL TOTAL

and therefore more centered than A.

block designs of Corollary 3.5 in Cheng (1987) provide an instance of this. \bar{A} means no improvement whatsoever. The optimal balanced incomplete itself being invariant. In this case A = A, so that the passage from A to II. Being invariant the design $\bar{\eta}$ must be a mixture of *j*-vertex designs It may happen that η has an invariant moment matrix A without η

$$\bar{\eta} = \sum_{i > 0} \beta_j \xi_j$$

for $j \leq 0$,

with $\min \beta_j \ge 0$ and $\sum \beta_j = 1$. Let \overline{J} be the $k \times k$ matrix with each entry equal to 1/k, and set $K = I_k - \overline{J}$; this is an orthogonal pair of orthogonal projections. The moment matrix of ξ_j is

$$M(\xi_j) = \Lambda_j \bar{J} + \lambda_j K$$
, where $\Lambda_j = \frac{j^2}{k}$, $\lambda_j = \frac{j(k-j)}{k(k-1)}$.

Therefore the moment matrix of $\bar{\eta}$ is

$$M(\bar{\eta}) = \sum_{j>0} \beta_j (\Lambda_j \bar{J} + \lambda_j K)$$

up to m. Hence we introduce new weights α_j that sweep the initial mass into the median mThe eigenvalues Λ_j and λ_j increase as j runs over the initial section from 0

$$\alpha_j = 0$$
 for all $j < m$, $\alpha_m = \sum_{j \le m} \beta_j$, $\alpha_j = \beta_j$ for all $j > m$.

This produces a design which is a mixture of *j*-vertex designs for $j \ge m$,

$$\xi = \sum_{j \ge m} \alpha_j \xi_j,$$

two moment matrices are distinct, unless the weights β_j vanish for j < m. with a Loewner improved moment matrix $M(\xi) \ge M(\bar{\eta})$. Furthermore the III. The moment matrix of ξ is $M(\xi) = \Lambda \overline{J} + \lambda K$, with

$$\Lambda = \sum_{j \ge m} \alpha_j k \left(\frac{j}{k}\right)^2, \qquad \lambda = \sum_{j \ge m} \alpha_j \frac{k}{k-1} \frac{j}{k} \left(1 - \frac{j}{k}\right).$$

Thus the eigenvalue pair (Λ, λ) varies over the convex set

conv {
$$(\Lambda_j, \lambda_j)$$
 : $j = m, ..., k$ } = conv { $(kz^2, \frac{k}{k-1}z(1-z))$: $z = \frac{m}{k}, ..., 1$ }.

their convex hull In other words, on the curve $x(z) = kz^2$ and $y(z) = \frac{k}{k-1}z(1-z)$ we pick the points (Λ_j, λ_j) corresponding to z = j/k for $j \ge m$, and then form

containing (Λ, λ) such that with some $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $s = j + \alpha$, we obtain vertices $(\Lambda_{j+1}, \lambda_{j+1})$ and (Λ_j, λ_j) of the upper boundary of the convex hull The geometry exhibits that for every eigenvalue pair (Λ, λ) there exist

$$\Lambda \leq \alpha \Lambda_{j+1} + (1-\alpha)\Lambda_j = \Lambda_s, \qquad \lambda \leq \alpha \lambda_{j+1} + (1-\alpha)\lambda_j = \lambda_s.$$

are distinct, unless ξ itself lies in C. $M(\xi)$, and lies in Cheng's class C. Furthermore the two moment matrices Thus the design ξ_s has a Loewner improved moment matrix, $M(\xi_s) \geq$

and decrease, respectively. Therefore a proper subclass of $M(\mathcal{C})$ cannot be complete. IV. As s varies over [m, k] the eigenvalues Λ_s and λ_s strictly increase

even when they are not in the class C. indicating that mixtures of j-vertex designs for $j \ge m$ may perform well The eigenvalue improvement in part III of the proof appears to be small,

and only if the information increasing ordering: M is at least as informative as A if dering, concave, and permutationally invariant is isotonic also relative to Every optimality criterion ϕ that is isotonic relative to the Loewner or-

$$M \geq \sum \alpha_i Q_i A Q'_i$$

ties of ϕ then yield with $Q_i \in \text{Perm}(k)$, and $\min \alpha_i \ge 0$ and $\sum \alpha_i = 1$. The functional proper-

$$\phi(M) \ge \phi\left(\sum \alpha_i Q_i A Q'_i\right) \ge \sum \alpha_i \phi(Q_i A Q'_i) = \phi(A).$$

same optimality property. An optimal design always exists provided the The same reasoning also establishes that if there exists a design $\xi \in \Xi$ that is ϕ -optimal over Ξ then there actually exists a design $\xi_s \in C$ with the this behavior. criterion ϕ is upper semicontinuous. The following corollary summarizes

as informative as another moment matrix A then concave, and permutationally invariant. If a moment matrix M is at least **Corollary 2.2** Let ϕ be an optimality criterion that is Loeuner-isotonic,

$$\phi(M) \ge \phi(A)$$

Moreover, there exists a design $\xi_s \in C$ which is ϕ -optimal over Ξ ,

$$\phi(M(\xi_s)) = \max \phi(M(\xi)),$$

$$\phi(M(\xi_s)) = \max \phi(M(\xi)),$$

$$\phi(M(\xi_s)) = \max \phi(M(\xi)),$$

provided ϕ is upper semicontinuous. 5 m

means ϕ_p , for $p \in [-\infty, 1]$, studied by Cheng (1987). A particular class of criteria to which this corollary applies are the p-

Optimal Designs for the *p*-mean Criteria

cu

As it happens the complete class of moment matrices $M(\mathcal{C})$ is in fact exhausted by the moment matrices $M(\xi_{s(p)})$ belonging to ϕ_p -optimal designs $\xi_{s(p)}$, as p varies over $[-\infty, 1]$. This follows from Theorem 3.1 in Cheng (1987); we now briefly recall this result. Cheng subdivides the interval $[-\infty, 1]$ using two interlacing sequences of numbers $f(m), g(m), \ldots, f(k), g(k)$ according to

$$\infty = f(m) < g(m) < f(j) < g(j) < f(j+1) < g(j+1) < f(k) = g(k) = 1$$

for j = m + 1, ..., k - 2. His result can then be stated as follows.

Theorem 3.1 For every order $p \in [-\infty, 1]$, there exists a support parameter $s(p) \in [m, k]$ such that the design $\xi_{s(p)}$ is ϕ -optimal over Ξ . As a function of p the support parameter s(p) is continuous, equal to j on the interval [f(j), g(j)], and strictly increases from j to j + 1 on the interval [g(j), f(j + 1)], for $j = m, \ldots, k - 1$.

FIGURE 2. The graph shows the ϕ_p -optimal support parameter $s_k(p)$ standardized by the dimension k, as a function of the order p of the mean ϕ_p . Most of the variation takes place when p is positive. The limiting value for large dimensions k is 1/2.



Cheng (1987) actually provides explicit formulae for these quantities, namely

$$f(j) = 1 + \frac{\log\left(1 - \frac{k}{2j-1}\right)}{\log\frac{(k-1)j}{k-j}}, \qquad g(j) = 1 + \frac{\log\left(1 - \frac{k}{2j+1}\right)}{\log\frac{(k-1)j}{k-j}};$$

FIGURE 3. The graph shows the dependence of the optimal value $v(p) = \phi(\xi_{s(p)})$ on the order of p of the mean ϕ_p , for varying dimensions k.



$$s(p) = \frac{j(j+1)\left(k-1+\left\{1-\frac{k}{2j+1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)}{(2j+1)(k-1)+(2j+1-k)\left\{1-\frac{k}{2j+1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}, \quad p \in (g(j), f(j+1)).$$

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate how the standardized support parameter s(p)/kand the optimal value $\phi(\xi_{s(p)})$ vary with p. Variation is small for p < -1and is not shown; variation is relatively large for p > 0.

Writing $s_k(p)$ in place of s(p) we now show that for large dimensions k the support of the optimal designs tends to the vertices with half of their entries unity,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{s_k(p)}{k} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let j_k be the integer part of $s_k(p)$, so that $s_k(p) \in [j_k, j_k + 1)$, and $p \in [f(j_k), f(j_k + 1))$. It suffices to show that j_k/k tends to 1/2.

From $j_k > m$ we clearly get $\liminf j_k/k \ge 1/2$. We argue that $\limsup j_k/k = 1/2$. Otherwise there is no loss in generality in assuming $\lim j_k/k = \alpha > 1/2$. Then we obtain

$$f(j_k) = 1 + \frac{\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{2j_k/k - 1/k}\right)}{\log(k - 1)\frac{j_k/k}{1 - j_k/k}} \to 1 + \frac{\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\right)}{\lim_{k \to \infty} \log(k - 1)\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}} = 1.$$

Hence eventually p must fall below $f(j_k)$, and this is impossible.

356 21. Complete Class Results For Linear Regression Designs

Remark

The criteria used to compare designs in this paper assume that all observations incur a constant cost regardless of the design point at which they are taken. Thus, the total cost is fixed by fixing the total sample size n, and the goal is to allocate proportions of this total sample size to design points in an optimal fashion. For example, a *j*-vertex design ξ_j assigns approximately $n/{k \choose j}$ observations to each of the ${k \choose j}$ *j*-vertices. However, there are many situations where investigators are also concerned with minimizing the number of design points. In this case, the first observation at a new design point is more expensive than all succeeding replications at that point. Criteria reflecting this concern, and designs optimal with respect to such criteria, are a worthy subject for further study.

Acknowledgments: The work of this author is partially supported by the Stiftung Volkswagenwerk, Hannover, and the Mathematical Sciences Institute, Cornell University. This is paper BU-534-M in the Biometrics Unit, Cornell University.

References

- Cheng, C. -S. (1987). An application of the Kiefer-Wolfowitz equivalence theorem to a problem in Hadamard Transform Optics. Ann. Statist. 15, 1593-1603.
- Pukelsheim, F. (1987). Information increasing orderings in experimental design theory. Internat. Statist. Rev. 55, 203-219.



On the occasion of his 65th birthday

Editors S. James Press Allan R. Sampson Leon Jay Gleser Michael D. Perlman

and Statistics Contributions to Probability

Essays in Honor of Ingram Olkin

With 50 Illustrations



Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg London Paris Tokyo Hong Kong

