DIRECTORATE GENERAL FOR INTERNAL POLICIES





CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS

Degressive proportionality in the European Union

Degressive proportionality vs proportionality (apportionment)

Proportionality

Degressive prop.

- precisely defined
- free choice:
 - rounding procedure

- a plethora of options
- free choice:
 - allocation scheme
 - rounding procedure

Degressive prop. – an algorithm

- we have to set three variables:
 - the number of seats in the EP S;
 - the allocation scheme A;
 - the rounding method [].
- the allocation scheme:

 $p (population) \rightarrow A_d(p) (seats)$

$$\sum_{i} [A_{d}(p_{i})] = S$$





Seventy seven allocations

Number of seats:

- 751
- 678 = 751 73
- optimal size
- minimum size

Rounding method:

- downward
- to the nearest integer
- upward

Allocation scheme:

- base + prop (CC)
- piecewise linear
- quadratic (parabolic)
- base + power (MCC)
- homographic
- linear + hyperbolic
- min-max proportional

With/without the UK

Balanced solutions

some transfer of seats is inevitable

- *positive*: France, the United Kingdom (if applicable), Spain, Estonia
- neutral: Germany, Italy, Poland, the Netherlands, Denmark, Finland, Slovakia, Ireland, Croatia, Slovenia, Latvia, Cyprus, Luxembourg, Malta
- negative: Romania, Belgium, Greece, the Czech Republic, Portugal, Sweden, Hungary, Austria, Bulgaria, Lithuania
- change the *status quo* as little as possible

Base + power scheme

- the Modified Cambridge Compromise (base + power scheme)
 - expresses more accurately the principle of degressive proportionality
 - results in the minimum transfer of seats in the EP, (regardless of its size), with the rounding method adjusted to the size
 - preserving the current size of the EP (751)
 - reducing the size by all British seats (to 678)
 - intermediate solution

Smooth transition

- Brexit: an opportunity to implement a smooth transition to a new balanced allocation system in such a way that each Member State obtains at least the current number of seats in the EP
- The minimum size of the EP (after Brexit) for which such a smooth solution exists is 721

Population data

- the exact numbers of MEPs are given in our briefing
- they are sensitive to the population data
- we use the based on the Council Decision 2016/2353 of 8 December 2016
- decision on the data to be used and the frequency of their updating

Balance of power

- transition to the MCC system
 - increases the share of representatives for a few of the largest Member States and reduces it for the medium sized ones
- a simultaneous modification of the voting system in the **Council**
- the Jagiellonian Compromise (square root weights + optimal quota) strengthens the voting power of the medium sized states

Presentation by

Wojciech Słomczyński, Karol Życzkowski Jagiellonian University



Policy Department Citizens' Rights and Constitutional Affairs Responsible Administrator: Ioannis PAPAGEORGIOU poldep-citizens@europarl.europa.eu



